the Rockwell Report

Vol. 3 No. 8 February 1, 1964

PROOF:

Our Founding Fathers were "FASCISTS"

Official Publication of the American Nazi Party

THE ROCKWELL REPORT is published fortnightly by the American Nazi Party at 928 N. Randolph St., Arlington, Virginia, U. S. A. Single copy, 50¢. One year, mailed first class in plain, unmarked envelope, $10, Air Mail, $11. One month, $1. Clippings welcomed.



The photograph above was smuggled out of the sacrosanct chamber of the United States Supreme Court.

It is a photograph I took myself--a photograph of the rich, red rug right under the nose of Chief Justice Warren and the other eight Justices. It covers the whole floor of the Supreme Court Chamber!

Do you recognize the SYMBOL all over that rug in the United States Supreme Court?

It is called a "FASCES".



See VERDANT.] A hoop skirt or hoop petticoat, or a frame of hoops to extend the petticoat.
fas′ces (făs′ēz), *n. pl.* [L., pl. of *fascis* bundle.] *Rom. Antiq.* A bundle of rods having among them an ax with the blade projecting, borne before Roman magistrates as a badge of authority. —
fas′ci·al (făsh′ĭ·ăl), *adj.*
fas′ci·a (făsh′ĭ·à), *n.*; *pl.* -CIAE (-ē). [L., a band.]
1. A band or fillet; esp., in surgery, a bandage.
2. *Arch.* A flat member of an order or building,

Fasces.

Here is a photograph of the section on "Fasces" from Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Fifth Edition) page 363:

Notice the picture of the bundle of sticks bound together to make an AX--representing AUTHORITY.

→ Here is the SAME SYMBOL on our U.S. silver DIME:

The same symbol is carved on the front walls of the U. S. Congress.

In fact, the Fasces is found ALL OVER U. S. Government buildings and money, etc.

Do you recognize anything familiar in that name, "FASCES"?

You should. It is the origin of the word "fascist"--which is so much HATED by the Jews and Reds.

Perhaps you have been taken in by the lies of both the Jews AND THE KOSHER CONSERVATIVES, that "fascism" is evil and un-American.

If you have, then look at the next photographic reproduction from Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Fifth Edition), page 364--the definition of "Fascism".



Fascist movement. The movement toward nationalism and conservatism as opposed to internationalism and radicalism, originated by the Fascisti in Italy.
fash (făsh), *n.*

Note that Fascism is defined as "The Movement toward Nationalism and Conservatism as opposed to Internationalism and Radicalism".

Now do you see why the Jews--and the right-wing cowards hate that word "fascism" so much?

The "FASCES" were plastered ALL OVER everything connected with the United States Government--because, by definition, the American Government was designed to be a "Fascist" Government!!!! ("Nationalist and Conservative") Until the JEWS got into the act, nobody was afraid of "Fascism"--our own government proudly placed the symbol of Fascist authority all over our most sacrosanct governmental chambers, on our money and on our statues. (Abraham Lincoln's hands rest on TWO Fasces in the Lincoln Memorial!)

Now WHY do you suppose Jews, Reds--and "Kosher Conservatives"--HATE that word and that symbol so bitterly?

The answer is to be found in the very nature of the "fasces" themselves.

They originated, according to an ancient Roman legend, when the tribes in ancient Italy were torn into a thousand feuding "splinters". A great leader, meeting with other chiefs, picked up a stick and snapped it easily, showing how easily the tribes were being beaten, one at a time by the barbarians. Then the leader gathered up a BUNDLE of the little sticks, tied them together and tried to break them.

He couldn't, of course. All tied together, they were too TOUGH! Then he mounted an AX blade on the bundled sticks and showed that in UNITY and AGGRESSIVE self-defense, there is VICTORY. Our kind of people used--AND UNDERSTOOD--that symbol, for thousands of years--RIGHT UP UNTIL WORLD WAR II--when Hitler and Mussolini finally realized how to stop the infernal DIVISION of our people by the JEWS.

The Jews had the MASSES of people (being led by Jewish COMMUNISTS) HATING their own intelligentsia as a "ruling class"--and the "ruling class" hating the masses of their OWN people as "greedy, brutal LABOR"--the CLASS WARFARE of COMMUNISM.

The Jews had Germany and Italy broken into a thousand squabbling, petty, and greedy little groups which were ALL helpless before the united power of organized, implacable Jewry. As the Roman leader made the little sticks strong by bundling them TOGETHER in the "fasces", so Mussolini made the ITALIAN people strong enough to survive and establish ORDER through UNITY and AUTHORITY. Hitler did the same thing for the German people. And because a UNITED people led by STRONG, HONEST leaders is too hard a nut for Jewish parasites, merchants, money-lenders and Communist revolutionists to crack, the Jews roundly hated Messrs. Mussolini and Hitler, and got the rest of the world to go and whip them.

Today, America and all White, Western, Christian nations are DIVIDED as never before. Here in the U.S.A. they have us divided into Republican and Democrat, Catholic and Protestant, rich and poor, Yankees and Confederates, Capital and Labor, Liberals and Conservatives, etc., etc. The only unity to be found is the diamond-hard, eternal RACIAL UNITY OF THE JEWS. And they have their boys LEADING all the divisions of our race--even the "conservatives" now!

Thus the Jews have LIED about the nature of "fascism" to keep us DIVIDED and HATING the only thing which can SAVE us. The Jews cannot really be blamed for this swindle, since it is self-preservation.

But in view of the definition in the DICTIONARY, which we have printed photographically, which shows that "fascism" is NOT "the same thing as Communism", but is actually nationalistic CONSERVATISM, how are we to explain the chorus of "conservatives' who are busily baying with the Jewish pack on the heels of "fascism"? Why do THEY hate us, too?

The answer is that most of them are too lazy to do their homework and find OUT what fascism IS. They simply hear the Jews and Communists screaming day and night how vile it is--so they either hate it too or they are too scared of the Jews to admit it to themselves that Fascism is the OPPOSITE of Communism, which it is. Finally, there are some "conservatives" who are actually, believe it or not, rabid LIBERALS, and don't know it. Such ninnies are the inter-Collegiate Society of Individualists, who, in a time when we are being broken like the separate sticks, demand still MORE breaking down of our already splintered society by their concept of "individualism"--a concept which differs only slightly from the ideals of anarchists and Bolsheviks.

The American Nazi Party believes that our founding fathers established an AUTHORITARIAN REPUBLIC (by no means a "democracy"--which they openly despised). They established the FASCES as the very SYMBOL of the authority which brought UNITY and ORDER to the thirteen original Colonies.

And it is an AUTHORITARIAN REPUBLIC for which we stand, as did our forbears. Our Swastika is the White Man's RACIAL symbol of orderly government and strong leadership--under a constitution and laws.

The "Fasces" all over Washington and on the dimes in your pocket show the way to salvation for our White, Christian American Constitutional REPUBLIC.

The American Nazi Party is not afraid to follow that way in spite of the lies of Jews and Kosher Conservatives.

And the masses of people will not be far behind.

"HUMANITARIANISM"-

Humanity's Last Superstition

When I was a little kid, I was assigned "chores" around the place. One of them was the dishes.

But I don't think I "did the dishes" like other kids.

I experimented and wondered and tried to figure out the "WHY" of everything. I puzzled for hours why water stayed in a tumbler when you lifted it, upside down, almost out of the dishwater. I got in endless trouble over experiments with soap-suds. What made them? What were they? Would they be bigger if you added various items? I tried talcum powder, mustard--everything I could get my hands on. The usual result was that somebody got their hands on ME for my trouble, and I got a licking.

But the investigative turn of mind which often fetched me up in the woodshed for "experimenting" with the dishwater has stayed with me. One of the dominant passions of my life is the effort to discover the NATURAL LAWS behind what appear, at first, to be a thousand disconnected "wonders", like the water in the tumbler and the soap suds.

I began to discover that there were truly beautiful laws behind these things--that things in this universe are magnificently organized if only we are bright, unprejudiced and persistent enough to find the laws and the organization. I fell in "love" with the business of discovering and using the laws of the Universe.

I soon discovered, of course, that I was not the original discoverer of this organization of the universe or the methods of learning its laws and system. In high school, I learned that the whole delightful business was called "science", and that a lot of very wonderful men hundreds of years before me had been looking into the dishwater, too--and the heavens, and the seas, and into everything else in the Universe. I fell upon such knowledge like a starving man and devoured it. Every new morsel was a delight. Even hard-to-digest items were delicacies, once you got "used" to them. That air pressure, held the water in the tumbler in the dishwater, by pressing on all the water outside the tumbler, and thus pushing it up inside the glass, instead of the vacuum inside "sucking" it in--was a big and tough lump for me to digest. But I got it down, and it was great! This taught me not to be prejudiced, not to be a "bigot".

What seemed, was often simply not true, even though the truth seemed even less likely, at first.

The whole history of man's scientific progress, I learned, has been his struggle to get rid of ideas which, at first, seemed right and were therefore pre-judged to BE right. In primitive societies, any suggestion that the God, "The-Great-So-and-So" did not exist, when everybody knew He made the earth, the heavens, the sea and people, etc.--got one promptly burned or sacrificed. Everybody "knew" for thousands of years, that the earth was FLAT--you could SEE it for yourself. And the first few half-wits who suggested it was round were not only laughed out of countenance but, many of them were burned at the stake for such "insanity"

The whole history of humanity is tragically soiled with a million repititions of the burning of people who dared to suggest the wrongness of a precious PREJUDICE of the times. Each generation, in the last few centuries, has looked with HORROR on this history of stupidity and insanity--and then gone about the ruthless business of exterminating the men of its own times who dared to question the popular prejudices of the day.

The bigots of each era have dutifully shaken their heads in disbelief and horror at the witch-doctors of other eras, and then hunted down and destroyed anybody who dares to question the witch-doctors of their OWN era.

Why do I write this sort of stuff? Is this not the very ESSENCE of the arguments of the OTHER side? Is this not "liberalism" at its worst? Are the very words, "prejudice", "bigotry", etc., not the chief weapons in the arsenal of the Jews, Communists and the rest of the enemy apparatus?

Yes, of course.

The enemy does inveigh endlessly against the "bigotry" of "racists" and "anti-Communists", etc.

I am supposed to be the BIGGEST bigot of them all. I "hate" nice Negroes and Jews, "just because" of the "color of their skins" or because of their "religion". This is the popular idea spread by the enemy. Somehow, goes the superstition of our times, I have developed an unreasoning "hate" of innocent and equal people who have dark skins or who go to a synagogue instead of a church.

Well, let's examine this idea, as I once examined the soap-suds or the dishwater in the tumbler.

It would be a mark of the utmost stupidity to "hate" something or a person because you didn't like the color of his skin or hair, or because he believed "God" is Moses instead of Jesus or nobody at all. This would be as silly and stupid as "hating" chocolate ice-cream because it is "dark", or "hating" people who prefer the Yankees to the Giants.

I would shrivel up and die of shame before I would participate in any such stupidity and madness.

Then why do I head a "Nazi" Party, and cuss out "niggers" and talk about "gassing" "vile Communist Jews"?

Is this not the worst sort of "bigotry", "hate" and "prejudice"?

NO! NO! Ten thousand times, NO!

Quite the contrary!

For thousands of years, people suffered from the delusion that the earth was flat, and those who dared to question the idea got burned or crucified.

And for MORE thousands of years--even NOW, people are getting burned and crucified for questioning the idea that "MAN" is somehow the "center of the universe". THAT is the whole source of our trouble today.

Corpernicus and Galileo fought and suffered and went to prison for preaching that the earth was not the center of the universe. Had not God Himself SAID the earth was the center of the universe, and "Man" its crowning glory, the Master of Creation? Of course! Therefore, Copernicus and Galileo were a couple of evil liars for suggesting otherwise.

Since these two gentlemen got "crucified" for their insistence on Natural Law instead of conceited MAN-MADE "law", facts have piled up to prove they were right. Only nuts, today, dare question that the earth is round or that it is only a small planet circling a very small sun in a minor galaxy in a very big universe. THAT battle against prejudice is all over.

We are too "enlightened" today to fall victim to any such stupid "prejudice".

Like hell!

Just three days ago I spoke to a group at the University of New Hampshire. There were super-"intellectual" professors there, and the university students were SUPER-enlightened--as is usual at such institutions. No "witch craft" or superstition for them. No sir! They wear beards and beatnik hair-dos to show their contempt for ordinary, stupid, unenlightened, "prejudiced" and "bigoted" dolts like me and us other "racists", etc.

Their attitudes were precisely those of the ecclesiastical courts which condemned Messrs. Copernicus and Gallileo, i.e., they were all conforming slavishly to the prejudice of our times (that "man" is somehow "holy", has "dignity" and "rights", and is "worthy" just because he can squirm under the wire as "Man").

I was looked upon as a wild, raving lunatic, a "nut" and a heretic! Not one of them would be able to see what they were doing and the absolute likeness of their bigotry to that of the bigots who condemned Copernicus. Like ALL bigots, they were sure they were right--and told me so. One professor went so far as to admit he was a "bigot", when it came to the possibility that he could ever see things my way.

"NEVER!" he gasped, for all the world like the gentlemen of the cloth examining Copernicus and his heretical ideas. I pointed out that anybody could be wrong, and might change--even I. He stuck by his guns. He "hated" Nazism, always would, and was proud of it!

And there is the POINT of this whole piece.

Today's intellectuals, who pride themselves on scientific method and being "broad-minded" are the most narrow-minded, self-righteous and HATE-filled bigots in the history of humanity. No primitive tribe worshiping a witch-doctor ever was more vicious in its hatred and suppression of heretics than today's intellectual, anti-racist "liberals" and Marxists.

Their "intellectual" position is pure, unadulterated superstition and prejudice, and they "burn" us "heretics" in the hottest fires of their hate and lies!

That, of course, they will deny, puffing and blowing and gasping with utter outrage.

But let's examine it. Let's do as science does with the tumbler full of dishwater and see what their position IS.

Let's start out by exempting from combat the devoutly religious Christians. If you say to me, "God made all humanity in His own image, including savage black cannibals and even such Jews as the Rosenberg Red spies", then I cannot argue with you, because there is no way of proving you are wrong. And, from that position, I must admit it is hard to imagine a good God purposely and knowingly dumping into the world, in all innocence, such of his own "Images" as the Australian aborigines and other animal-like Negroes, or such bloodthirsty Jewish creatures as Trotsky (Bronstein). If ALL "humans" are indeed the "children" of a good God, and were all "created in His image"--then I do not really see how to get out of the conclusion that we ARE all equal and "brothers", because I can see no fair or honest reason why God would have given the White Man all the brains, good looks and energy, which he has exhibited in history, while He gave the blacks all the stupidity, laziness, ugliness, etc., which THEY have exhibited for thousands of years.

In other words, if you postulate "God" as the "Father of all Humanity", then you can logically go from there to argue that God wants all His "children" to be "equal", and that we racists are mean, "un-Christian" and "prejudiced" if we "discriminate" against some of His "children" and claim we are "superior". I am not saying, of course, that Christians have to argue that all men are equal. Many of them claim that God designed some to be inferior from birth as part of His plan. I personally cannot believe that a good God would do this to more than 6/7ths of humanity. It is impossible for ME to believe that God wanted to create a half-animal Congo cannibal when He could have made an intelligent, moral and handsome White Christian, instead. --Especially when He made at least seven times as many of these colored "Images" of Himself as White Men. But it IS possible to argue such a position logically from the postulate of a Special Creation of Man as the Image of God--just as it is also logically possible to argue the absolute "equality" and "brotherhood" of all men as Children of God--from the same postulate. Those who postulate a personal God reserve the right to make their own rules, and nobody can argue with them.

BUT THE LIBERALS I HAVE MET DO NOT POSTULATE "GOD". Most of them are arrogant, sneering Atheists. And the open Marxists and Communists, as everybody knows, are MILITANT ATHEISTS!

(Just for the record, I am NOT an Atheist. I think Atheism is just as much a superstition as most religion. The religionist says, "I have examined the universe, and discovered 'God'. And 'God' is such-and-such--and all who disagree are heretics and wicked. I alone have the 'truth' in the matter". The Atheist says, "I have examined the universe, and there is nothing I cannot eventually know and there is no 'super-human-being' who could qualify as a 'God' ". To me, the latter statement is just as conceited as the statement of the religious fanatic. I don't think either one of them knows a damned thing. Both are guessing from insufficient data. What I have been able to observe indicates to me that there are many things which happen which could be and may be the work of a super-human agency--which could be called "God". In fact, I believe the preponderance of evidence indicates there IS some unknowable agency at work, and I "believe in" this agency, which I call "Destiny" or "Providence". In this sense, I believe in a "God". But I am no bigot on the subject, and seek all scientific date one way or the other. Technically, I am thus an "Agnostic". My answer to the riddle of the universe, which I think is answered with unwarranted certainly by both the religious person and the Atheist, is simply "I do not know, and I don't think YOU know either".)

Now let us examine the NATURE of the superstitions and error from which men have suffered down through the ages.

In every case, it will be found that every superstition of every group of men, from the gloom of the tropical rain-forests of the Congo up to and including the highest forms of modern "religions", contain one constant, permanent factor: egocentrism. They all start from the presumption that the believers are something special, and there are supernatural beings who have a special interest in them, and that if they perform the proper rites and avoid the proper taboos, they will gain special ascendency in this world, and total ascendency in the next.

In other words, the road-blocks to progress in science has always been human conceit--the belief that we are something special. The earth was the center of the universe, and for men to question that was to hurt men's EGO, so they had to die. Man is a special creation of a special God, and he who questions that must also die, because if that is not true, then Man is just "Homo sapiens", an intelligent and communicating form of higher ape, who is part of animal nature.

It is natural for men to love and admire themselves, and their false beliefs and superstitions have always partaken of this enormous self-love.

And this infinite capacity for self-love has always blinded man to scientific truth.

For scientific truth reveals that man is mighty damned near to NOTHING in the scales of this universe.

The struggle for science has been a constant battle within man himself to see himself as he IS, not as he likes to imagine himself to be.

And that battle is STILL GOING ON.

The LAST battle in the long struggle is taking place NOW.

At New Hampshire University, I faced the high priests and cultists of the "Man-is-something-sacred" superstition, and suffered their hatred and scorn for making them look at themselves.

Here's the "Achille's Heel" of the Marxists and the Liberals!

Marxists and most liberals are thoroughly UN-religious. They boastfully and arrogantly deny God. They are their own Gods. "Humanity" has become their "God". But they deny any supernatural agency, and so they cannot claim, like humanity has for aeons, that God made "Man" as something special. No. The Marxists and liberals are, by and large, materialists--and CANNOT claim any such thing.

This puts them in an absolutely impossible situation--if we will only take advantage of it and press it without mercy.

If man is merely an intelligent animal and thus part of all the rest of nature--which the Marxists and liberals must admit, then man is also subject to all the laws of biology and evolution, the same as all other animals.

The religious man can and does draw a line between man and the rest of living creation. "God created Man as something special", he says. And everything above that line can be called "Man", and is holy, sacred and special.

The Marxist and liberal can do no such thing. He can draw no line whatsoever. He preaches, as the very essence of his doctrine, that everything exists in "shades of greys", not black and white--that there ARE no arbitrary lines of demarcations between things--which is by and large true.

So if we ask the Atheist Marxist or liberal about "Man's Natural Rights", or the "Human Dignity" which is so fondly preached by Martin Luther Coon, we have our opponents in a corner. If there is no God, then where did man get any "rights" which are not also the rights of horses, or apes--or worms? What "right" have we to murder cows and eat them, any more than cows have "rights" to murder us and eat us? And what, indeed, is "man"? Phenomena do exist in this world in degrees, not as absolutes. There is an old story about the guy who tried to wade across a stream which averaged two feet deep, and fell in a twenty-foot hole in the middle and drowned. Who is a "tall" man? When does a man become a "fat" man? How many stones in a "pile"? Two? Three? Five? How old is an "old" man? When does a "baby" cease to be a "baby"? Which year? Which month? Which week? Which day, hour, minute, second, etc.?

Any scientific examination of the animal world shows that there ARE no "lines" between one set of phenomena and another set. There are certainly recognizable groups, but the groups shade into each other at the edges, without hard, sharp lines.

Now, without postulating "God", just how do the Marxists, etc., explain the concept of "Man"--as an absolutely homogenous and "equal" mass of creatures completely separate and above the laws of the rest of nature which make everything else alive exist in degrees of capacity?

In every other special of living creature, animal and vegetable, there are groups of recognizable varieties which vary from other groups of the same species in hardihood, longevity, ability to adapt, sensitivity, etc.

Among plants, horses, dogs, monkeys, snakes, pigs, flowers, birds and cats there are BREEDS.

Some breeds are tough. Some are delicate and nervous. Some are stupid but strong. Some are weak but fast or clever. There are draft horses and race horses, sled-dogs and clever trained poodles, greyhounds and Newfoundlands, hummingbirds and penguins.

Nobody in his right mind would say "all birds are equal", or "all dogs are equal" or "all monkeys are equal". Every zoologist knows that chimpanzees are the most intelligent, while baboons are more stupid--although all of them are of the ape family. The same can be said of every single animal type in the world. In every kind, there are groups which vary by quality.

No Marxist or liberal can deny this.

Yet, when it comes to the intelligent kind of ape which walks upon two legs and can think and talk better than a chimpanzee--the Marxist suddenly becomes "religious". He talks of "human rights", "human dignity", etc.! What "human dignity"? If there is such a thing as "human dignity", then why in the name of reason is there not "chimpanzee dignity" and "ape's rights" and even "snake's rights"?

There is no answer to this question. The typical Jewized equalist will trot out miles of statistics to show that some Congo cannibal once learned to play chess or run the hundred yard dash or went to Harvard.

Such "argument" is precisely the same as if I were to claim that race and draft horses were the same, and were to train a race horse to pull a cart, or a draft horse to run a race. It would still be a draft horse BREED running the race, and a race horse BREED pulling the cart. Even if some odd fluke produced a fast draft horse who could beat some race horses it would not mean that there is no such thing as BREEDS of horses. Only an idiot would try to maintain such a mad argument. If you want a race horse, you breed for a race horse; you do not try to train and beat a draft horse into becoming a race horse. Above all, you do not spend all your money feeding a million heavy old plugs while you cut down on the oats and the breeding of the finest stock you have.

Yet this is precisely the superstition--the "religion", if you will, of our times! This is the irrational, crazy, egotistical fanaticism which I experienced at the University of New Hampshire, last week.

There is no reason for it. It is UNreasonable in the extreme. There is no logic behind it. It violates all logic. There is no excuse for it. It is the crazy "faith" of those who pretend to worship "science" and "facts".

The truly enlightened men of every age have had to struggle and often die because of the stupidity and ugly prejudice of those who truly believed themselves the very guardians of truth. Every "decent" person knew the world was flat and the center of the universe and applauded the saintly men who burned Gallileo for preaching the ugly story that the world was round and not the center of the universe.

Today, every "decent" person believes that "humanity" is the "center of the universe". Religious people base their belief on an edict of God, and can find some excuse for their belief in "equality". All our preachers and priests are standing like rock against the new "heresy". And the Jews, Marxists and liberals are standing firm, right along with them--for the same thing!

The scientific fact is that man is only a super intelligent kind of animal--and like all the rest of life, differs by BREEDS--or "RACES"!

This scientific fact is just as world-shaking as was the fact that the earth is not the center of the universe, in its day, and is meeting with the same frantic struggle against it by the same BIGOTS who have been crucifying bearers of truth for ten thousand years.

The curse of mankind is EGOCENTRISM, CONCEIT.

It simply kills modern, "enlightened" man that he isn't anything special. It kills him that there are millions and millions and millions of his kind who are worthless scum, compared to the finest breeds of his kind. So he denies it--denies it and makes a RELIGION of it--the religion of "liberalism" and finally Marxism. There is no reason or logic to it--only the same old "if you don't agree with us, you are a villain and we will silence and destroy you" which has been the lot of every preacher of science against bigotry, for thousands of years.

There is one difference, though.

Humanity could believe the earth was the center of the universe--and flourish in its error. Nature took care that humans kept evolving, by eliminating the unfit and breeding the race ever upward.

The present egocentric, Jewish-led "equalism" of "enlightened" humanity is destroying humanity itself.

Carried to its logical conclusion, the belief that every bit of flesh which comes from a female remotely classifiable as "human" is biologically the same as a young Shakespeare or Beethoven or George Washington--that cannibals have "human dignity" and "rights"--this insane doctrine will destroy humanity itself PHYSICALLY, by destroying the evolutionary jewel of the very essence of human-ness--the White Man!

This doctrine, which leads the White Man everywhere to provide millions of dollars, doctors, medicine, schools, etc., to swarms of inferior human garbage all over the world is already breeding billions of arrogant, half-savage, half-animal "under-privileged" "citizens" who are demanding their "rights" and their "human dignity" at the point of their spears or Soviet or Israeli machine guns.

The madness has spread to the U.S.A., where next summer will see these "equals" raging in the street a hundred times worse than last summer. And it will get worse, and worse and worse, until it leads to the planned, all-out Communist revolution of the colored billions, led by the Jews--unless the White Man can think his way through the PREJUDICE of our times--that human beings, unlike all the rest of the animal world, do not differ by BREED--or RACE.

Those who claim they are "saving us", like Robert Welch and Billy James Hargis, while they join the Jews in preaching that racism is "hate", are spreading the disease even while claiming to be the doctors.

Getting rid of every egocentric human error has always meant mass burnings and martyrdom for the heroes who dared tell the truth in a blind and stubborn world. It is no different today. If we are to finally see humanity come into the light of knowledge of BREEDING and RACE--then many of us must be ready to go to the stake or be fed to the lions.

But let us put the blame where it belongs--on the Marxist Jews, Unitarians, liberals and race-mixing "leaders"--the world's greatest BIGOTS.